

## INSTRUCTION FOR REVIEWERS

*Nukleonika* accepts manuscripts (original, review and invited papers, technical notes, letters to the editors) from the fields of nuclear sciences especially of nuclear chemistry, radiation chemistry, nuclear and radiation physics, chemistry of isotopes, radiobiology, and nuclear techniques in industry and environmental protection.

- An article submitted for publication is subjected to a double-blind peer-review process participated by at least two reviewers. Article is sent to reviewers in an anonymous form (without names and other personal data of the authors). Reviewers also remain anonymous.
- If you have guessed the identity of the Author, and there is a conflict of interest, you cannot accept the task of preparing the review. The conflict of interest shall be deemed to take place between the Reviewer and the Author if there exists:
  - direct personal relationship (kinship, legal relations, conflict etc.),
  - relationship of professional subordination,
  - direct scientific cooperation in the past two years prior to the preparation of the review.
- A review should be prepared in English on review form (see page 2).
- The review should be sent back to the editorial office within 21 days of receiving the article. If a reviewer is not capable of completing this task within such deadline, should inform the editorial office about it after accepting the invitation for reviewing the article.
- Reviewers are asked to prepare a detailed review evaluating the content of the article. A reviewer evaluates selected aspects of reviewed articles:
  - If the subject matter of a manuscript is consistent with the profile of the journal
  - Are the title and abstract clearly presented?
  - Whether the article contains elements of innovation
  - Has the present state of knowledge been sufficiently presented?
  - Has the research material clearly been presented, have proper methods been used?
  - Do the results justify the conclusions?
  - Are the results of the study correctly presented and interpreted?
  - Are the figures and tables necessary and clear enough?
  - English
- Final conclusion – options
  - accept without changes
  - accept after minor changes suggested by reviewer
  - reassessment of the manuscript after major changes suggested by the reviewer
  - reject manuscript

Both acceptance and dismissal of a paper require justification. If a paper requires changes, a reviewer should indicate suggested corrections.
- Revision of manuscript
 

A corrected article will be sent to the same reviewer for revision (several revision are possible). The reviewer are asked to revise the article and send their recommendation concerning publication to the editorial office.

Please return a copy of your opinion on the enclosed manuscript.

TITLE:



*Editor-in-Chief*

Prof. Andrzej G. Chmielewski,  
PhD., D.Sc.

Institute of Nuclear Chemistry  
and Technology  
16 Dorodna Str.  
03-195 Warsaw, Poland

Tel.: +48 22 504 12 05  
Fax: +48 22 811 15 32

E-mail:  
a.chmielewski@ichtj.waw.pl  
nukleon@ichtj.waw.pl

www.nukleonika.pl

MANUSCRIPT No.:

Reviewer's report (confidential)

Criteria	From the highest (5) to the lowest (1)	In my opinion, this paper should be published	
Subject suitable for publication in "Nukleonika"		without change	
Innovation		after minor revision	
Presentation and organization		with major revision	
English		not at all	
Scientific or practical impact of the work			
Abstract – adequate, informative			
Conclusion – sound, justified			
Illustrations, tables, figures – necessary			

Date .....

Signature .....

COMMENTS:

*Publisher*

Institute of Nuclear Chemistry  
and Technology  
16 Dorodna Str.  
03-195 Warsaw, Poland

